UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,645	12/17/2003	James L. McElhannon	116521	3850
25944 OLIFE & RED	7590 06/18/2007 RIDGE PLC		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			VETTER, DANIEL	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/736,645	MCELHANNON, JAMES L.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Daniel P. Vetter	3628			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time Till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	⊶'				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
'	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
8)[are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11)[i,ne oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form P10-192.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the defined copies not receive				
Attachmen						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) X Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 12/17/2003.	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3628

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-13 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 4, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shindo, Japanese Pat. Pub. No. 09-035129 (Reference N of the attached PTO-892).
- 3. As per claim 1, Shindo teaches an automated error detection and recovery system for a common use self service kiosk in which a user reads commands and inputs responses in an automated process, comprising: an error detection module that detects errors in the commands or responses that occurred during the automated process (Example ¶) and generates error recovery information (Means for Solving the Problem ¶); a printer associated with the error detection module (Means for Solving the Problem ¶), wherein the printer prints a recovery coupon containing information pertaining to the generated error recovery information (Means for Solving the Problem ¶);

Application/Control Number: 10/736,645 Page 3

Art Unit: 3628

a document reader to read the recovery coupon and the information pertaining to the generated error recovery information (Effect of the Invention ¶); and an error recovery module that determines a status of the automated process and the commands or responses contained therein, based on the generated error recovery information contained in the recovery coupon (Example ¶).

- 4. As per claim 4, Shindo teaches the system of claim 1 as described above.

 Shindo further teaches the error recovery module is contained in a server connected to an agent workstation separate from the kiosk (Example ¶).
- 5. As per claim 6, Shindo teaches the system of claim 1 as described above.

 Shindo further teaches the user is an airline passenger and the automated process is a passenger check-in process (Abstract (see Use/Advantage); Industrial Application ¶).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shindo.

Page 4

Art Unit: 3628

8. As per claim 3, Shindo teaches the system of claim 1 as described above. Shindo further teaches the reader is located at an agent workstation separate from the kiosk (Effect of the Invention ¶). Shindo further teaches the error recovery module is contained in a server connected to an agent workstation separate from the kiosk (Example ¶) rather than at the agent workstation itself. However, it would have been prima facie obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the error recovery module is located at an agent workstation separate from the kiosk because placing the module in the workstation itself rather than in a connected server is simply a matter of obvious engineering choice to eliminate the need for a separate server. See In re Larson, 340 F.2d 965, 968; 144 USPQ 347, 349 (CCPA 1965) (claims using a one-piece construction held obvious over a prior art reference that disclosed only the connected parts).

- 9. Claims 2 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shindo in view of Enta, U.S. Pat. No. 5,983,197 (Reference A of the attached PTO-892).
- 10. As per claim 2, Shindo teaches the system of claim 1 as described above.

 Shindo does not explicitly teach the error detection module is contained in a server connected to the kiosk. Enta teaches the error detection module is contained in a server connected to the kiosk (Abstract). It would have been prima facie obvious to one

Art Unit: 3628

having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the above teachings of Enta into the system taught by Shindo in order to making decisions remotely for support dispatch (as taught by Enta; Abstract).

- 11. As per claim 8, Shindo in view of Enta teaches the system of claim 2 as described above. Shindo further teaches the kiosk includes: a display for displaying the commands to the user (Example ¶); an operator interface for entering the responses to the commands (Example ¶); and the printer for printing at least one of finalized document and the recovery coupon (Means for Solving the Problem ¶).
- 12. Claims 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shindo in view of Pugliese, et al., U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 2001/0016825 (Reference B of the attached PTO-892).
- 13. As per claim 5, Shindo teaches the system of claim 1 as described above. Shindo does not explicitly teach the automated error detection and recovery system is networked with an airport database. Pugliese, et al. teaches the automated error detection and recovery system is networked with an airport database (¶ 0044). It would have been prima facie obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the above teachings of Pugliese, et al. into the system taught by Shindo in order to access passenger records during check-in (as taught by Pugliese, et al.; ¶ 0044).

Art Unit: 3628

14. Claims 7 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shindo in view of Kimata, U.S. Pat. No. 5,043,561 (Reference C of the attached PTO-892).

- 15. As per claim 7, Shindo teaches the system of claim 1 as described above. Shindo further teaches the error recovery module analyzes the status information (Effect of the Invention ¶). Shindo does not teach the error recovery module provides solutions for detected errors. Kimata teaches the error recovery module provides solutions for detected errors (column 18, lines 54-55). It would have been prima facie obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the above teachings of Kimata into the system taught by Shindo so that a clerk can adjust fare amounts on after a check-in error (as taught by Kimata; column 18, lines 54-55).
- 16. As per claim 9, Shindo teaches the system of claim 1 as described above. Shindo further teaches the agent workstation includes the document reader to read the recovery coupon (Effect of the Invention ¶). Shindo does not teach the agent workstation includes: a display for displaying generated error recovery information and proposed solutions for the detected errors; an operator interface for executing the solutions; a printer for printing finalized documents. Kimata teaches the agent workstation includes: a display for displaying generated error recovery information and proposed solutions for the detected errors (column 8, line 54); an operator interface for

Art Unit: 3628

executing the solutions (column 8, line 62); a printer for printing finalized documents (column 8, line 58). It would have been prima facie obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the above teachings of Kimata into the system taught by Shindo so that a clerk can perform fare adjustment processing after an error has occurred (as taught by Kimata; column 8, lines 57-60).

17. As per claim 10, Shindo teaches a method of error detection and recovery during automated passenger check-in at a common use self service kiosk in which a passenger reads commands and inputs responses in an automated check-in process. comprising: monitoring the passenger check-in process for errors (Means for Solving the Problem ¶); generating error recovery information when an error is detected (Means for Solving the Problem ¶); printing a recovery coupon encoded with at least one of the generated error recovery information and a pointer to the error recovery information (Means for Solving the Problem ¶). Shindo does not explicitly teach correcting the detected error based on the information printed on the recovery coupon. Kimata teaches correcting the detected error based on the information printed on the recovery coupon (column 18, lines 65-68). It would have been prima facie obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the above teachings of Kimata into the method taught by Shindo so that the passenger can proceed after an error (as taught by Kimata; column 19, line 4).

Art Unit: 3628

- 18. As per claim 11, Shindo in view of Kimata teaches the method of claim 10 as described above. Shindo further teaches reading the information printed on the recovery coupon (Function ¶) and determining the status of the commands or responses based on the information read from the coupon (Function ¶). Kimata further teaches providing at least one solution for the errors based on the information read from the recovery coupon (column 18, line 55). It would have been prima facie obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the above teachings of Kimata into the method taught by Shindo in view of Kimata so that a clerk can perform fare adjustment processing after an error has occurred (as taught by Kimata; column 18, lines 54-55).
 - 19. As per claim 12, Shindo teaches a method of error detection and recovery during automated passenger check-in at a common use self service kiosk in which a passenger reads commands and inputs responses in an automated check-in process, comprising: monitoring the automated passenger check-in process at a kiosk (Means for Solving the Problem ¶); generating error recovery information at the kiosk when an error is detected (Means for Solving the Problem ¶); printing a recovery coupon at the kiosk encoded with at least one of the generated error recovery information and a pointer to the error recovery information using a printer at the kiosk (Means for Solving the Problem ¶); generating a message for display on a kiosk display instructing the

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 10/736,645

Art Unit: 3628

passenger to bring the recovery coupon to an agent (Example ¶); reading the recovery coupon at an agent workstation (Function ¶); and determining a cause of the detected error based on the information read from the coupon (Example ¶). Shindo does not teach providing at least one solution to the error; correcting the error; and printing passenger travel documents. Kimata teaches providing at least one solution to the error (column 18, line 55); correcting the error (column 18, lines 65-68); and printing passenger travel documents (column 18, line 67- column 19, line 1). It would have been prima facie obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the above teachings of Kimata into the method taught by Shindo so that the passenger can proceed after an error (as taught by Kimata; column 19, line 4).

20. As per claim 13, Shindo in view of Kimata teaches the method of claim 10 as described above. Kimata further teaches monitoring the passenger check-in process for potential security issues (column 18, line 56); and notifying the proper authorities when a potential security issue is detected (column 18, line 60). It would have been prima facie obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the above teachings of Kimata into the method taught by Shindo in view of Kimata in order to prevent illegal boarding (as taught by Kimata; column 18, lines 56-60).

Application/Control Number: 10/736,645 Page 10

Art Unit: 3628

Conclusion

- 21. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Imatsuka, Japanese Pat. Pub. No. 2000-182089 (Reference O of the attached PTO-892) teaches an automatic ticket examination device that receives a first ticket and discharges a second error ticket if a problem occurs. Ratner, U.S. Pat. No. 3,445,633 (Reference D of the attached PTO-892) teaches an automated system for recording information and issuing transportation tickets in flight check-in systems on a self-service basis. Nagai, U.S. Pat. No. 4,965,437 (Reference E of the attached PTO-892) teaches a ticket issuing apparatus including a printer and a communications interface connected to a host computer.
- 22. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel P. Vetter whose telephone number is (571) 270-1366. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8am to 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-6708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,645 Page 11

Art Unit: 3628

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JOHN W. HAYES

JOHN W. HAYES

EXAMINER